## 1. Summary

Gambrell Bros. \& Co. Ltd. London $4 x$ decade resistance box $1 \Omega$ to $9,999 \Omega$.
S/N 722
Bakelite front panel, with metal enclosure and 2 side mounting tabs. 4 rotary switches with three contacts between each rotary shaft and a commoning ring and each resistor element pad.
(Likely) Manganin coil resistor elements. Wooden coil bobbins on (likely) brass mounting bolts that act as rotary switch contact pads on one end, and termination solder joint for the element wires on the other threaded end. Coils wound as a bifilar winding.

Poor external and internal condition. Missing cracked corner of top bakelite. Burnt $100 \Omega$ element. Poor resistance contacts on rotary switches. 3 resistor elements with slightly high levels ( $70 \Omega$; $5 \mathrm{k} \Omega ; 9 \mathrm{k} \Omega$; and 1 with slightly low level $(5 \Omega)$. 1 element with broken connection wire ( $1 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ ).

Gambrell Bros exhibited in the late 1920's and early 1930's in England.

Wireless Engineer magazine 1931 extract:
Gambrell Bros, had a good display of laboratory and testing equipment. A useful type of condenser briclge was shown, for the rapid comparison of
ganged variable condensers, giving the difference of capacity throughout the scale and also the deviation from normal at minimum and maximum settings. Standard fixed condensers, a new standard variable condenser and the McLachlan modulated C.W. wavemeter were also on view. Bridge components and accessories included a valve source of $300-2,000 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{s}$., balanced and screened bridge transformers and screened boxes of non-reactive resistances. Other laboratory items included a range of very sensitive galvanometers, standard Wheatstone bridges, potentiometers, etc.



## 2. Restoration

The mounting bolt lower nuts were checked for tightness. One was quite loose.
The burnt $100 \Omega$ element bobbin was removed and the retaining wire wrapping removed to allow the outer layer of burnt wire to be free, but with the next layer of wire held so as not to uncoil. The
burnt layer wire had its carbonised insulation dusted off and the un-tensioned layer was then fixed in place with an archive pva glue. Insulation tape was wrapped around part of the length and the final turns were separated and moved down to be over the insulation tape until no turns were touching (slightly lowering the net resistance) or shorting (due to the bifilar wound configuration). The loose coil turns were fixed in place and the element re-mounted and reconnected.

The broken connection wire on one of the elements was repaired as an in-line soldered joint.
The 5 k and 9 k elements were similarly repaired - a weak/broken point was identified $\sim 4 \Omega$ in to one end (underneath the cotton tie), and that point was extended out and re-terminated to about $3 \Omega$ of the broken extension (using a shoe-lace lug and solder). The loose ends were soldered to end posts for latter use if needed.

The resistor element mounting bolt contact pads had the top oxide layer sanded down using 1000 grit paper until rotary contact operation was generally ok (some caution is needed as contact resistance variation can occur). Each pad clearly shows three parallel wear tracks. Contact cleaner lubricant was then used.

5 resistor elements were trimmed using parallel resistors, and one element trimmed with a series resistor. 28 resistor elements were within $0.1 \%$ tolerance.

Pass through resistance was somewhat reduced by soldering in parallel cables.
Later, the series interconnections between decades were modified to allow use for Hamon divider configurations, as well as additional terminals added for Hamon divider connections.

## 3. Measurements

HP3497A with Kelvin connection resistance measurements at 1mA (consistent with initial Keithley 197 measurements, and cross-compared to $19.999 \mathrm{k} 0.01 \%$ Fluke 192583). Still to do a $+10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ rise test for TCR. All settings above $4 \Omega$ were withing $0.1 \%$ tolerance, and most were within $0.05 \%$.

Phase shift at 60 kHz is about 10deg inductive for $1 \Omega$ elements.
Phase shift at 60 kHz is about 2-3deg inductive for $10 \Omega$ elements.
Phase shift at 60 kHz is about -0.5 deg capacitive for $100 \Omega$ elements.
Phase shift at 60 kHz is about -16 deg capacitive for $1 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ elements, and needs about 560 uH series to reduce to near 0 deg.
Impedance phase shift for $1 \Omega$ and $10 \Omega$ elements was reduced to less than 1 deg at 90 kHz using shunt capacitors soldered across tops.

| Setting | Ohm | Note | Tolerance | Comment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0.000 |  |  | Shorted kelvin leads |
| 0 | 0.007 |  |  | minimised - variance due to knobs |
| 1 | 1.001 |  | $0.10 \%$ | $/ / 100 \Omega / / 300 \mathrm{nF}$ |
| 2 | 2.003 |  | $0.15 \%$ | $/ / 200 \mathrm{nF}$ |
| 3 | 3.004 |  | $0.13 \%$ | $/ / 250 \mathrm{nF}$ |
| 4 | 4.007 |  | $0.17 \%$ | $/ / 200 \mathrm{nF}$ |
| 5 | 5.003 |  | $0.06 \%$ | $/ / 200 \mathrm{nF}$ |
| 6 | 5.999 |  | $-0.02 \%$ | $/ / 200 \mathrm{nF}$ |
| 7 | 6.994 |  | $-0.09 \%$ | $/ / 200 \mathrm{nF}$ |
| 8 | 7.994 |  | $-0.08 \%$ | $/ / 100 \mathrm{nF}$ |


| 9 | 8.999 |  | $-0.01 \%$ | $/ / 100 \mathrm{nF}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | 9.992 |  | $-0.08 \%$ | $/ /(2 \mathrm{k} 7+330) / / 10 \mathrm{nF}$ |
| 20 | 20.000 |  | $0.00 \%$ | $/ / 4 \mathrm{k} 7 \Omega / / 10 \mathrm{nF}$ |
| 30 | 30.001 |  | $0.00 \%$ | $/ / 10 \mathrm{nF}$ |
| 40 | 39.961 |  | $-0.10 \%$ | $/ / 10 \mathrm{nF}$ |
| 50 | 49.968 |  | $-0.06 \%$ | $/ / 10 \mathrm{nF}$ |
| 60 | 59.981 |  | $-0.03 \%$ | $/ / 10 \mathrm{nF}$ |
| 70 | 69.993 |  | $-0.01 \%$ | $/ / 2 \mathrm{k} 2 \Omega / / 10 \mathrm{nF}$ |
| 80 | 79.998 |  | $0.00 \%$ | $/ / 10 \mathrm{nF}$ |
| 90 | 90.018 |  | $0.02 \%$ | $/ / 6.8 \mathrm{nF}$ |
| 100 | 99.997 | overload repair | $0.00 \%$ | $+0.3 \Omega$ |
| 200 | 200.04 |  | $0.02 \%$ |  |
| 300 | 300.11 |  | $0.04 \%$ |  |
| 400 | 400.18 |  | $0.05 \%$ |  |
| 500 | 500.21 |  | $0.04 \%$ |  |
| 600 | 600.22 |  | $0.04 \%$ |  |
| 700 | 700.25 |  | $0.04 \%$ |  |
| 800 | 800.29 |  | $0.04 \%$ |  |
| 900 | 900.32 |  | $0.04 \%$ |  |
| 1000 | 999.90 | fixed broken wire | $-0.010 \%$ |  |
| 2000 | 2000.0 |  | $0.00 \%$ |  |
| 3000 | 3001.1 |  | $0.037 \%$ | $/ / 2 \mathrm{M} 2 / / 330 \mathrm{k}$ |
| 4000 | 4000.9 |  | $0.022 \%$ |  |
| 5000 | 4999.2 | stress issue repair | $-0.016 \%$ |  |
| 6000 | 5999.4 |  | $-0.010 \%$ |  |
| 7000 | 7000.3 |  | $0.004 \%$ |  |
| 8000 | 8000.4 |  | $0.005 \%$ |  |
| 9000 | 8999.0 | stress issue repair | $-0.01 \%$ |  |
| 10 | 9.995 |  | $-0.05 \%$ | HOLCO H8 Y 0.05\% reference |

## 4. Operation

Take care to confirm that rotary contact resistance is nominal for a particular setting. Forcing the knob down, or rocking it, can slightly modify the reading.

Try and limit current to:
$<220 \mathrm{~mA}$ for $1 \Omega$ decade to limit dissipation of each coil to 50 mW .
Overload would be $>1 \mathrm{~W}$ or $1 \mathrm{~A} \quad$ (ie. 1 V per $1 \Omega$ )
$<70 \mathrm{~mA}$ for $10 \Omega$ decade to limit dissipation of each coil to 50 mW .
Overload would be $>1 \mathrm{~W}$ or $0.3 \mathrm{~A} \quad$ (ie. 3 V per $10 \Omega$ )
$<22 \mathrm{~mA}$ for $100 \Omega$ decade to limit dissipation of each coil to 50 mW .
Overload would be $>1 \mathrm{~W}$ or $0.1 \mathrm{~A} \quad$ (ie. 10 V per $100 \Omega$ )
$<7 \mathrm{~mA}$ for $1000 \Omega$ decade to limit dissipation of each coil to 50 mW .
Overload would be $>1 \mathrm{~W}$ or $30 \mathrm{~mA} \quad$ (ie. 30 V per k $\Omega$ )
Take care in removing front panel from enclosure as metal cut-outs can easily catch a coil end (especially the 40R coil).

## 5. Conversion to Hamon 10:1 and 100:1 divider

- $1 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ steps form the $100: 1$ top 9 k element
- $100 \Omega$ steps form the $10: 1$ top $900 \Omega$ element
- $10 \Omega+1 \Omega$ steps form the bottom $100 \Omega$ element (with an additional series $1 \Omega$ adjustable resistor located in underside link from 'LO' end box terminal to new 0 V Hamon terminal).

All decade resistors likely have similar tempco, and added $1 \Omega$ resistor is $1 \%$ of value so tempco difference is $1 \%$. A wire-wound $0.87 \Omega$ part used with added $0.22 / / 0.22 / / 0.22$ in series.

The box has a link between each decade, but the links are from input terminal to 100 decade, 100 to 1 decades, 1 to 10 decades, 10 to 1 k decades, and then to the other input terminal (as that provides the lowest series resistance path). These links were modified to sequentially connect through the decades.

The top of the 100 decade, and the top of the 10 decade were accessed with new front panel 4 mm terminals for divider connections.

100:1 divider changes to $10: 1$ divider by switching 1 k decade switch from 9 k to 0 k . The 10:1 divider then includes any parasitic resistance due to the 1 k decade series switch/link resistance unless the voltage is measured at the 100:1 divider terminal.

Setup calibration links added on the $100 \Omega$ and $1 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ decades. Links soldered together for $1: 1 \mathrm{cal}$, then unsoldered and insulated with heatshrink.

Cal the $10: 1$ divider as a 2:1 divider by adjustment of the added $1 \Omega$ value, with the 1 k decade set to 0 k , and using the original HI terminal and the added Hamon 10-100 terminal, and the added Hamon 0 V terminal. 1, 10 and 100 decades set to $9,90,900$, and the four 100 decade calibration links in shorting mode.

- $300 / / 300 / / 300=100 \Omega$ from HI to Hamon 10-100
- $90+9+1=100 \Omega$ from Hamon 10-100 to Hamon 0V.

Cal the 100:1 divider as a 2:1 divider by adjustment of the 1 k end link to the original HI terminal, with the 1 k decade set to 9 k , and using the added top panel Hamon 100-1K terminal, and the added Hamon 0 V terminal. 1, 10, 100 and 1 k decades set to $9,90,900,9 \mathrm{k}$, and the two 1 k decade calibration links in shorting mode. $0.6 \Omega$ added for balance.

- $3 \mathrm{k} / / 3 \mathrm{k} / / 3 \mathrm{k}=1 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ from HI to Hamon $100-1 \mathrm{~K}$
- $900+90+9+1=1 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ from Hamon $100-1 \mathrm{~K}$ to Hamon 0 V .

The basis for using the decade resistance box is that the $1-3,4-6,7-9$ steps in the $100 \Omega$ decade and the $1 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ decade are acceptably matched. Measured results were: $100 \Omega$ and $1 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ groups matched to within $0.01 \%$ but one $1 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ group at $0.05 \%$, so not too bad.

To do:

- Increase 5 k and 9 k to avoid need to add $0.6 \Omega$ to balance top $2: 1$ divider (ie. add $\sim 1.2 \Omega$ to the $4-6 \mathrm{k}$ series group and to the $7-9 \mathrm{k}$ series group). On review the 7 k section is $\sim 1 \Omega$ high so //1M2, and add 1.8 to 5 k and add 1.5 to 9 k ., and then recheck 2:1 divider.

Front panel terminal locations:



